

THE NUMBER OF ROOTS OF FULL SUPPORT

MARKO THIEL

ABSTRACT. Chapoton has observed a simple product formula for the number of reflections in a finite Coxeter group that have full support. We give a uniform proof of his formula for Weyl groups. We furthermore refine his formula by the length of the roots.

MSC2010: 17B22, 20F55, 52C35

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Φ be an irreducible crystallographic root system of rank n with ambient space V , Coxeter number h and exponents $e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \dots \leq e_n$. Fix a set of positive roots Φ^+ for it and let $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be the corresponding set of simple roots. Let $S = \{s_{\alpha_1}, s_{\alpha_2}, \dots, s_{\alpha_n}\}$ be the set of simple reflections and let $W = \langle S \rangle$ be the Weyl group of Φ . See [Hum90] for background on root systems and Weyl groups.

Any positive root $\beta \in \Phi^+$ can be written as a linear combination of simple roots

$$\beta = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} c_{\beta\alpha} \alpha$$

where the coefficients $c_{\beta\alpha}$ are nonnegative integers. Define the *support* of β as the set of those simple roots whose coefficient is nonzero:

$$\text{supp}(\beta) := \{\alpha \in \Delta : c_{\beta\alpha} \neq 0\}.$$

The aim of this note is to give a uniform proof of the following statement, observed *case-by-case* by Chapoton.

Theorem 1.1 ([Cha06, Proposition 1.1]). *The number of positive roots in Φ^+ whose support is Δ is given by the formula*

$$\frac{nh}{|W|} \prod_{i=2}^n (e_i - 1).$$

In fact we will prove a stronger result that refines Theorem 1.1 by the length of the roots. Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be the *highest root* of Φ and say that a root $\beta \in \Phi$ is *long* if $\|\beta\| = \|\tilde{\alpha}\|$ and *short* otherwise. Let n_l (respectively n_s) be the number of long (respectively short) simple roots in Δ . In particular $n_l + n_s = n$. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *The number of long (respectively short) positive roots in Φ^+ whose support is Δ is given by the formula*

$$\frac{n_l h}{|W|} \prod_{i=2}^n (e_i - 1) \quad (\text{respectively } \frac{n_s h}{|W|} \prod_{i=2}^n (e_i - 1)).$$

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Define a partial order on the set of positive roots Φ^+ by $\beta \leq \gamma$ if and only if $\gamma - \beta$ is a linear combination of simple roots with nonnegative coefficients. Call Φ^+ with this partial order the *root poset*.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For a positive root $\beta \in \Phi^+$ define

$$I(\beta) := \{\gamma \in \Phi^+ : \gamma \leq \beta\}$$

as the principal order ideal in the root poset generated by β . We have $\text{supp}(\beta) = I(\beta) \cap \Delta$, so the map

$$\beta \mapsto I(\beta)$$

is a bijection from the set of long positive roots whose support is Δ to the set of order ideals that contain Δ and whose unique maximal element is a long root. By a result of Sommers [Som05, Proposition 6.6 (2)], the latter set is counted by

$$\frac{1}{[N(W_\alpha) : W_\alpha]} \chi_{\alpha^\perp}(h-1),$$

where $\alpha \in \Delta$ is some long simple root, $W_\alpha = \{e, s_\alpha\}$ is the parabolic subgroup generated by the reflection s_α , $N(W_\alpha)$ is its normalizer in W and $\chi_{\alpha^\perp}$ is the *characteristic polynomial* of the restriction of the Coxeter arrangement to the hyperplane $\alpha^\perp = \{x \in V : \langle x, \alpha \rangle = 0\}$.

We have that [OST87, Corollary 3.10]

$$\chi_{\alpha^\perp}(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (t - e_i)$$

and [OS83, Equations (4.1) and (4.2)]

$$[N(W_\alpha) : W_\alpha] n_l = (-1)^{n-1} \chi_{\alpha^\perp}(-1),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{[N(W_\alpha) : W_\alpha]} \chi_{\alpha^\perp}(h-1) &= \frac{n_l}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 + e_i)} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (h-1-e_i) \\ &= \frac{n_l h}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + e_i)} \prod_{i=2}^n (e_i - 1) \\ &= \frac{n_l h}{|W|} \prod_{i=2}^n (e_i - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the duality of exponents $h - e_i = e_{n+1-i}$, $h = e_n + 1$ and $|W| = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + e_i)$. The argument for short positive roots of full support is identical.

3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank the anonymous referees for pointing out references and suggesting the inclusion of the more refined Theorem 1.2. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [Cha06] Frédéric Chapoton. Sur le nombre de réflexions pleines dans les groupes de coxeter finis. *Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society*, 13:585–596, 2006.
- [Hum90] James E. Humphreys. *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [OS83] Peter Orlik and Louis Solomon. Coxeter arrangements. *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, 40(2):269–291, 1983.

- [OST87] Peter Orlik, Louis Solomon, and Hiroaki Terao. On Coxeter Arrangements and the Coxeter Number. *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics*, 8:461–477, 1987.
- [Som05] Eric N. Sommers. $\mathfrak{b}$ -Stable Ideals in the Nilradical of a Borel Subalgebra. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 48:460–472, 2005.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ZÜRICH, WINTERTHURERSTRASSE 190, 8050 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND